Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,295	WOLFGANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajja	la 2166	
All Participants:	Status of Applica	ition: Allowed	
(1) Srirama Channavajjala.	(3)		
(2) Janaki K. Davda, Reg.No. 40,684.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 August 2006	Time: 2 PM	•	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: outstanding office action			
Claims discussed: 1-39			
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent No. 6493727; US Patent No. 5970502			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provi	de a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	ance
de la company de			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed.

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Janaki K. Davda, Reg. No. 40,684 about the potential amendment to the claims, specification page 13, paragraph 38, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.